


## EXTENDED REPORT

View metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)brought to you by  CORE

provided by Carolina Digital Repository

## antibody tests: how stable are results over time?

D Erkan, W J M Derksen, V Kaplan, L Sammaritano, S S Pierangeli, R Roubey, M D Lockshin

*Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1321–1325. doi: 10.1136/ard.2004.031856

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:  
Dr Doruk Erkan,  
Department of  
Rheumatology, Hospital  
for Special Surgery, 535  
E70th Street, New York,  
NY 10021, USA; [derkan@pol.net](mailto:derkan@pol.net)

Accepted  
17 February 2005  
Published Online First  
24 February 2005

**Objective:** To determine the stability and the degree of variation of antiphospholipid antibody (aPL) results over time in a large cohort of well evaluated aPL positive patients; and to analyse factors contributing to aPL variation and the validity of aPL in a real world setting in which aPL tests are done in multiple laboratories.

**Methods:** The clinical characteristics, drug treatment, and 1652 data points for lupus anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), and anti- $\beta_2$  glycoprotein I antibodies (anti- $\beta_2$ GPI) were examined in 204 aPL positive patients; 81 of these met the Sapporo criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) and 123 were asymptomatic bearers of aPL.

**Results:** 87% of initially positive LA results, 88% of initially negative to low positive aCL results, 75% of initially moderate to high positive aCL results, 96% of initially negative to low positive anti- $\beta_2$ GPI results, and 76% of initially moderate to high positive anti- $\beta_2$ GPI results subsequently remained in the same range regardless of the laboratory performing the test. Aspirin, warfarin, and hydroxychloroquine use did not differ among patients whose aCL titres significantly decreased or increased or remained stable. On same day specimens, the consistency of aCL results among suppliers ranged from 64% to 88% and the correlation ranged from 0.5 to 0.8. Agreement was moderate for aCL IgG and aCL IgM; however, for aCL IgA agreement was marginal.

**Conclusions:** aPL results remained stable for at least three quarters of subsequent tests, regardless of the laboratory performing the test; the small amount of variation that occurred did not appear to be caused by aspirin, warfarin, or hydroxychloroquine use.

In patients with thrombosis or pregnancy complications, a persistently positive antiphospholipid antibody (aPL) (lupus anticoagulant test (LA), anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), and anti- $\beta_2$  glycoprotein I antibodies (anti- $\beta_2$ GPI)) establishes a classification of definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS).<sup>1</sup> In a clinical setting, physicians judging aPL tests face several challenges. First, aPL in individual patients may vary over time, though how much spontaneous variation occurs is unknown. Second, it is also unknown whether any variation that does occur reflects autoimmune disease activity, drug treatment, or interlaboratory differences. Third, interlaboratory correlation among aCL results is not well established—a point particularly important in the USA where, because of rapidly changing insurance coverage systems, physicians can no longer specify the laboratories in which their patients' specimens are tested.

The primary objective of this study was to determine the stability and the degree of variation of aPL results over time in a large cohort of well evaluated aPL positive patients. Second, we analysed factors we considered likely to contribute to aPL variation, and the validity of aPL in a real world setting in which aPL tests are done in multiple laboratories. The results should offer guidance to practising physicians and researchers in the management of aPL positive patients.

## METHODS

We identified aPL positive patients from those entered into two databases: a national antiphospholipid syndrome collaborative registry (APSCORE)<sup>2</sup>; and an asymptomatic (no history of vascular or pregnancy events) aPL positive registry (APLASA).<sup>3</sup> Inclusion criteria were: positive aPL (aCL or LA test or both, on two occasions six weeks apart), with or

without APS classification (based on the Sapporo criteria) for APSCORE; and positive aPL without APS classification for APLASA. We reviewed medical and registry records for all available aPL tests (LA test, aCL, and anti- $\beta_2$ GPI) including testing dates and laboratories. In addition, we also reviewed demographic variables, definite and possible aPL related clinical manifestations (venous and arterial thrombosis, pregnancy morbidity, livedo reticularis, thrombocytopenia, and migraine), coexisting autoimmune diseases, comorbidities (hypertension requiring antihypertensive agents, diabetes mellitus requiring antidiabetic agents, hypercholesterolaemia requiring cholesterol lowering agents, and current smoking), and drug treatments.

Lupus anticoagulant tests were grouped as positive and negative, based on the guidelines of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis.<sup>4</sup> The aCL and anti- $\beta_2$ GPI results were expressed as their immunoglobulin subclasses (aCL: IgG, IU or GPL U/ml; IgM, IU or MPL U/ml; and IgA, IU or APL U/ml; anti- $\beta_2$ GPI: IgG, U/ml; IgM, U/ml; and IgA, U/ml). They were divided into four groups: 0–19 U (negative); 20–39 U (low positive); 40–80 U (moderate positive); and >80 U (high positive). We classified aPL positive patients into three groups: vascular events with or without pregnancy events (Sapporo APS classification criteria were met); pregnancy events only (Sapporo APS classification criteria were met); and asymptomatic (Sapporo APS classification criteria were not met; patients with solely non-Sapporo aPL

**Abbreviations:** aCL, anticardiolipin antibody; aPL, antiphospholipid antibody; APLASA, asymptomatic aPL positive registry; APS, antiphospholipid syndrome; APSCORE, antiphospholipid syndrome collaborative registry; GPI, glycoprotein I; LA, lupus anticoagulant

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristic of 204 antiphospholipid antibody positive patients

Characteristic	
Female	190 (93%)
Age at registry inclusion (years)*	45.9 (13.3)
Race	
White	144 (71%)
Hispanic	25 (12%)
African American	21 (10%)
Other	14 (7%)
APS as per Sapporo criteria	81
Vascular with/without pregnancy event	57
Pregnancy event only	24
Asymptomatic aPL positive patients	123

Values are n (%) or \*mean (SD).

aPL, antiphospholipid antibody; APS, antiphospholipid syndrome.

**Table 3** Testing laboratories and assays of antiphospholipid antibodies

aPL test	Testing laboratory and assay
LA test	In house (DVV test® and DVV confirm® by American Diagnostic Inc)
aCL test	In house (based on Harris Standards*) Quest Diagnostics (Pharmacia Diagnostics aCL kit) APSCORE (based on Harris standards*)
Anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI	Quest Diagnostics (The Binding Site anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI kit) APSCORE (Inova Diagnostics anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI kit)

\*Harris EN, Pierangeli SS. Revisiting the anticardiolipin test and its standardization. *Lupus* 2002;11:269–75.

aPL, antiphospholipid antibody; APSCORE, antiphospholipid syndrome collaborative registry.

manifestations such as livedo reticularis or cardiac valve disease were also included in this group).

We arbitrarily selected the initial aPL result as the anchor. *Stability* of aPL was defined as the percentage of subsequent results in the same group; *variation* of aPL was defined as the percentage of subsequent results in different groups. The relations between clinically relevant variation (positive *v* negative for LA test, negative to low *v* moderate to high titre for aCL and anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI tests) and aPL related clinical manifestations or drug treatment (aspirin, warfarin, hydroxychloroquine) were analysed ( $\chi^2$  test) independently of other manifestations of autoimmune disease activity.

To examine interlaboratory variation, we identified aPL positive patients who had same specimen aCL testing from different commercial suppliers and from our immunology laboratory. Same specimen aCL results from different sources were analysed for: *consistency* (percentage of results within the same group); *agreement* between aCL groups (Cohen's  $\kappa$  test);

and *correlation* between aCL results (Spearman rank correlation test to test the direction and strength of the relation).

## RESULTS

Two hundred and four patients had positive low-medium-high titre aCL or LA test or both; table 1 gives their demographic and clinical characteristics. Fifty seven had had vascular events (21 venous only, 30 arterial only, and six venous and arterial). Table 2 gives the clinical characteristics and drug treatment of aPL positive patients who had vascular or only pregnancy event, or were asymptomatic at the time of the study entry. Patients with vascular events more often had livedo reticularis, migraine, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, and concomitant LA and aCL positivity ( $p < 0.05$ ).

We identified 1652 aPL tests between 1984 and 2004: 387 LA tests (61% done at our institution and 39% at other laboratories); 1097 aCL IgG/M/A tests (58% done using our in house assay, 24% at Quest Diagnostics, 12% at other

**Table 2** Clinical characteristic of 204 antiphospholipid antibody positive patients based on the three patient groups

Characteristic	V ± P event	P event only	Asymptomatic
Number of patients	57	24	123
Age (years) (mean (SD))	43.5 (11.7)	43.0 (10.0)	47.6 (14.2)
No other CTD	27 (47%) <sup>a</sup>	8 (33%) <sup>b</sup>	16 (13%) <sup>ab</sup>
Undifferentiated CTD	7 (12%)	1 (4%)	30 (24%)
SLE*	21 (37%)	14 (58%)	56 (46%)
Livedo reticularis	11 (19%) <sup>a</sup>	4 (16%)	10 (8%) <sup>a</sup>
History of thrombocytopenia	11 (19%)	1 (4%)	11 (14%)
Migraine	23 (40%) <sup>a</sup>	5 (20%)	24 (20%) <sup>a</sup>
Hypertension	22 (39%) <sup>a</sup>	4 (16%)	22 (18%) <sup>a</sup>
Diabetes mellitus	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	4 (3%)
Hypercholesterolaemia	10 (18%) <sup>ab</sup>	0 (0%) <sup>b</sup>	6 (5%) <sup>a</sup>
Current smoking	3 (5%)	3 (12%)	15 (12%)
aPL tests			
LA (+) and aCL (–)	2 (4%)	3 (13%)	13 (11%)
LA (+) and aCL (+)	28 (49%) <sup>a</sup>	11 (46%) <sup>b</sup>	22 (18%) <sup>ab</sup>
LA (–) and aCL (+)	17 (30%)	8 (23%)	55 (45%)
LA unknown and aCL (+)	10 (18%)	2 (8%)	33 (27%)
Drug treatment†			
Warfarin	37 (65%)	0	0
Clopidogrel	4 (7%)	0	0
Aspirin/dipyridamole	1 (2%)	0	0
LMWH	5 (9%)	0	0
Aspirin	9 (16%)	24 (100%)	55 (45%)
No treatment‡	1 (2%)	0	17 (14%)

<sup>aa</sup>, <sup>bb</sup> Statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ) between groups (no statistical test was applied to the drug treatment section).

\*Based on the American College of Rheumatology criteria.

†Excluding patients who were randomised to receive daily aspirin 81 mg or placebo.

‡No anticoagulant or antiplatelet agents.

aCL, anticardiolipin antibody; aPL, antiphospholipid antibody; CTD, connective tissue disorder; LA, lupus anticoagulant; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; P, pregnancy; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; V, vascular.

**Table 4** The number of patients based on the highest initial anticardiolipin antibody (aCL) isotype, the change in the groups based on the final aCL tests, and the stability of aCL tests over time

	0–19 U	20–39 U	40–80 U	≥80 U
Highest initial aCL result (No of patients)	42	51	39	47
<i>Analysis based on the final aCL results</i>				
Final aCL in the same group*	29 (69%)	16 (31%)	9 (23%)	31 (66%)
Final aCL in a lower group*	–	21 (41%)	21 (54%)†	16 (34%)‡
Final aCL in a higher group*	13 (31%)	14 (28%)	9 (23%)	–
<i>Analysis based on total aCL results</i>				
Total number of aCL tests	226	302	241	338
Mean number of aCL tests	5.4	5.9	6.2	7.2
Total subsequent aCL results remaining in same group (stability, based on four groups)	59%	53%	50%	74%
	0–39 U		≥40 U	
<i>Analysis based on total aCL results</i>				
Total number of aCL tests	528		579	
Mean number of aCL tests	5.7		6.7	
Total number of subsequent aCL tests	435		493	
Patients in whom all of the subsequent aCL results were in the same range	66 (71%)		53 (62%)	
Total subsequent aCL results remaining in same group (stability, based on two groups)§	88%		75%	

Values are n, n (%), or %.

\*The number and percentage of patients in the same group, a lower group, and a higher group based on a comparison between the initial and final aCL test result. The highest initial isotype was different than the highest last isotype but were in the same group (<40 v ≥40 U) in 13 patients.

†8/21 final aCL tests were in the negative and 13/21 tests were in the 20–39 U range.

‡2/16 final aCL tests were in the negative range, 6/16 tests were in the 20–39 U, and 8/16 test were in the 40–80 U range.

§19/528 (3.6%) of the aCL test results that switched from “low to medium” to “medium to high” titres were in the range of 40–45 U; and 21/579 (3.6%) of the aCL test results that switched from “medium to high” to “low to medium” titres were in the range of 34–39 U.

aCL, anticardiolipin antibody.

laboratories, and 6% by the APScore assay); and 168 anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI IgG/M/A tests (54% done at Quest Diagnostics, 37% by the APScore assay, and 9% at other laboratories) (table 3).

Of 159 patients tested for LA, 96 (60%) had more than one LA test (total / mean (SD) / median number of LA tests in 96 patients: 324 / 3.5 (1.8) / 3; mean follow up time, 2.4 years). Fifty one initial tests were positive, of which 37 (73%) remained positive based on the final test (mean follow up, 2.1 years; mean number of repeat tests, 2.2); 39 of 51 patients (77%) with an initial positive LA test had persistent LA positivity and 82 of 94 subsequent tests (87%) from 51 patients were positive. Forty five tests were initially negative, of which 37 (82%) remained negative based on the final test (mean follow up, 2.7 years; mean number of repeat tests, 2.7); 40 of 45 patients (89%) with an initially negative LA test had persistent LA negativity, and 121 of 134 subsequent tests (90%) from 45 patients were negative.

One hundred and seventy nine of 204 patients (88%) had more than one aCL test (total / mean (SD) / median number of aCL tests in 179 patients: 1072 / 6.2 (4.7) / 4; mean follow up time, 3.5 years). The highest isotype of the initial test was IgG, IgM, and IgA for 106, 54, and 19 persons, respectively (IgG and IgM for three (analysed as IgG), and IgM and IgA for two (analysed as IgM)). Nine patients had isolated aCL IgA positivity (LA status unknown in four). Table 4 shows the distribution of patients based on the highest initial aCL test; the change in the aCL groups based on the final aCL test; and the stability of aCL tests over time. The combined stability of aCL was 88% for negative to low positive and 75% for moderate to high positive groups. Furthermore, 71% of patients with an initial negative to low positive aCL result and 62% of patients with an initial medium to high positive aCL result had all of the subsequent aCL results in the same range.

One hundred and nine persons had anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI tests but only 27 (13%) had more than one (total / mean (SD) / median number of anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI tests in 27 patients: 87 / 3.2 (1.5) / 2; mean follow up time, 1.0 years). The highest isotype of the initial test was IgG, IgM, and IgA for 11, 9, and 1

**Table 5** The number of patients based on the initial anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI test, the change in the groups based on the final anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI tests, and the stability of anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI tests over time

	0–39 U	≥40 U
The highest initial anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI result (No of patients)	12	15
<i>Analysis based on the final anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI results</i>		
Final anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI in the same group*	11 (92%)	11 (73%)
<i>Analysis based on total anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI results</i>		
Total number of anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI tests	30	57
Mean number of anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI tests	2.5	3.8
Total number of subsequent anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI tests	18	42
Patients in whom all subsequent anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI results were in the same range	10 (83%)	10 (67%)
Total subsequent anti-β <sub>2</sub> GPI results remaining in same group (stability)	96%	76%

Values are n, n (%), or %.

\*The number and percentage of patients in the same group based on a comparison between the initial and final anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI test results. β<sub>2</sub>GPI, β<sub>2</sub> glycoprotein I.

persons, respectively (six were reported as negative for all the isotypes). Table 5 shows the change in the anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI for patients with more than one test. Ninety six per cent of subsequent tests in patients with an initial anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI titre of <40 U/ml and 76% of subsequent tests in patients with an initial anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI titre of ≥40 U/ml remained in the same group. Furthermore, 83% of patients with an initial negative to low positive anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI result and 67% of patients with an initial medium to high positive anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI result had all of the subsequent anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI results in the same range.

Excluding asymptomatic patients participating in a trial testing low dose aspirin v placebo, aspirin, warfarin, and hydroxychloroquine use did not differ between persons whose aCL titres decreased from medium to high to negative

**Table 6** The consistency, agreement, and correlation between our in house assay and other aCL kits

aCL kits	n	Consistency	Agreement	Correlation
IHA v APScore IgG	31	81%	0.66	0.8
IHA v APScore IgM	31	81%	0.46	0.8
IHA v APScore IgA	31	68%	0.15	0.5
IHA v Diamedix IgG	75	81%	0.4	0.6
IHA v Diamedix IgM	75	88%	0.4	0.8
IHA v Diamedix IgA	75	88%	0.2	0.6
IHA v Inova IgG	77	78%	0.5	0.8
IHA v Inova IgM	77	64%	0.3	0.8
IHA v Inova IgA	77	71%	0.2	0.7

APScore, antiphospholipid syndrome collaborative registry; IHA, in house assay.

to low ( $n = 26$ ), increased from negative to low to medium to high ( $n = 13$ ), or remained stable ( $n = 65$ ) ( $p = 0.93$ ,  $p = 0.13$ , and  $p = 0.83$ , respectively). Similarly, definite and possible aPL related clinical manifestations were not statistically different between the patients whose aCL titres decreased, increased, or remained stable. The diagnosis of SLE was present in 35%, 62%, and 41% of patients whose aCL titres decreased, increased, or remained stable, respectively ( $p = 0.2$ ). When 91 SLE patients were compared with 51 patients with no connective tissue disorders, there was no statistical difference between the number of patients whose aCL titres decreased (12% v 22%,  $p = 0.25$ ), increased (12% v 6%,  $p = 0.34$ ), or remained stable (76% v 72%,  $p = 0.72$ ).

Thirty one patients had same specimen aCL tests by our institution's in house assay and APScore; 75 by our in house assay and Diamedix Diagnostics aCL kit; and 77 by our in house assay and Inova Diagnostics aCL kit. Table 6 shows the consistency, agreement, and correlation between our institution's in house assay and other aCL kits. The consistency ranged from 64% to 88% and the correlation ranged from 0.5 to 0.8. Agreement was moderate for aCL IgG and aCL IgM; agreement for aCL IgA was marginal.

## DISCUSSION

Our data show that aPL results remain stable for at least three quarters of subsequent tests during a mean follow up of 2.4 years for the LA test, 3.5 years for the aCL test, and 1.0 year for the anti- $\beta_2$ GPI test. The small amount of variation that occurs does not appear to result from aspirin, warfarin, or hydroxychloroquine use.

The Sapporo criteria require, without definition, medium to high titre aCL is to make the diagnosis of APS.<sup>1</sup> Different clinical laboratories define "medium to high titre aCL" as more than 15–20 international units (IU), 2.0–2.5 times the median, or the 99th centile of normal population titres. In the absence of consensus among laboratories<sup>5</sup> or APS experts<sup>6</sup> about what constitutes medium to high titre aCL, several studies concluded that more than 40 IU or GPL U/ml is more predictive of thrombotic events<sup>7–11</sup> than lower titres. We used a cut off aCL limit of 40 U for "medium to high titre aCL" and found that, regardless of the laboratory carrying out the test, if the initial aCL result was less than 40 U, the probability of obtaining a repeat study within the same range in the next 3.5 years was 88%. If the initial aCL result was equal to or more than 40 U, the probability that a second test will also be in the same range was 75%. Antiphospholipid antibodies bind primarily to the negatively charged phospholipids through the phospholipid binding plasma protein  $\beta_2$ GPI, and some APS patients may have only anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies.<sup>12–13</sup> Despite the small number of patients, we also found that anti- $\beta_2$ GPI results of less than or more than 40 U/ml were stable in at least three quarters of subsequent tests.

Similarly, at least three quarters of LA tests stayed either positive or negative. Although our study was not primarily designed to test this question, we found that concurrent positivity of LA and aCL tests, traditional thrombosis risk factors, livedo reticularis, and migraine were more common in APS patients with vascular events than in aPL positive asymptomatic persons. These results are consistent with other studies showing that a positive LA test is more commonly associated with thrombosis than is aCL,<sup>14</sup> and that dual positivity of aCL and LA test is more commonly associated with thrombosis than is single positivity of aCL or LA test.<sup>15</sup>

Infection induced transient aPL positivity is common in the general population and usually not pathogenic. Vila *et al* analysed 552 healthy donors for the prevalence of aPL and found that 86% of positive IgG aCL and 97% of positive IgM aCL tests were negative in one year.<sup>16</sup> As our study population derives from registries that require two positive aPL results as inclusion criteria, our results do not apply to patients with single aPL positivity.

Although McCarty *et al* reported that aPL decreased in patients treated with hydroxychloroquine 200 mg twice daily and aspirin 81 mg once daily,<sup>17</sup> we did not observe any relation between aspirin, warfarin, or hydroxychloroquine treatment and change in aCL titre. The retrospective nature of the study did not allow us to analyse corticosteroid or immunosuppressive drug use accurately.

In order to determine the possible contribution of inter-laboratory differences to aPL variation, we analysed the degree of variation between aCL kits. Based on same day specimens, the consistency of aCL results among different suppliers ranged from 64% to 88%, with moderate agreement for IgG and IgM. The agreement for aCL IgA was marginal. Although interlaboratory agreement is better when positive aCL results are compared in semiquantitative measures (ranges of positivity),<sup>18</sup> others also found medium agreement between kits especially for IgG aCL.<sup>19</sup> We did not analyse interlaboratory variations between anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibody kits; however, Reber *et al* showed that anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibody kits are also poorly standardised, especially at lower titres, and the agreement is better with medium to high titre IgG anti- $\beta_2$ GPI.<sup>20</sup> Thus physicians should be conservative in their interpretation of aCL and anti- $\beta_2$ GPI levels in individual patients when results from multiple laboratories are available, a common scenario in the USA. The standardisation of aCL and anti- $\beta_2$ GPI assays can still be improved to provide better agreement, a point also noted by others.<sup>21</sup> Nonetheless, although interlaboratory differences might have contributed to the degree of aPL variation over time, we found that at least three quarters of aPL results were stable in the range of negative to low and moderate to high over time.



Our study has several limitations. It could not evaluate the effect of SLE activity on aPL variation, a point argued by some investigators<sup>22</sup> but contradicted by others.<sup>23</sup> Second, our titre groupings were arbitrary but consistent with clinical experience. Within these ranges, aPL variation was low. However, the clinical significance of our cut off points requires further verification. Third, aPL tests from multiple laboratories were included in our analysis; however, this was intentional in order to simulate a real world experience in which multiple and visit to visit comparisons must rely on studies done in different laboratories owing to rapidly changing insurance coverage systems. Lastly, the study did not evaluate aPL variation beyond three to four years, and it is possible that more variation may be observed with longer follow up.

## Conclusions

Repeat aPL results remain stable for at least three quarters of subsequent tests regardless of the laboratory carrying out the test. The variation that occurs does not appear to result from aspirin, warfarin, or hydroxychloroquine use. Although it is unknown if and what level of aPL variation has clinical significance, our findings should offer guidance to practising physicians and researchers in the management of aPL positive patients.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Margaret G Peterson PhD for her assistance with statistical analysis, Berta Spicyn for her assistance with immunology laboratory database, and Ronald HWM Derksen MD for his critical review of the manuscript. This study was partially supported by the New York Chapter of the Arthritis Foundation and the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases.

## Authors' affiliations

**D Erkan, V Kaplan, L Sammaritano, M D Lockshin**, Hospital for Special Surgery, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY, USA

**W J M Derksen**, Faculty of Medicine Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

**S S Pierangeli**, Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

**R Roubey**, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Wilson WA**, Gharavi AE, Koike T, Lockshin MD, Branch DW, Piette JC, *et al*. International consensus statement on preliminary classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome: report of an international workshop. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;**42**:1309–11.
- 2 **Roubey RAS**, Buxton G, APScore Investigators. The Antiphospholipid Syndrome Collaborative Registry (APSCORE): report on the first 546 subjects [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;**50**:S640.
- 3 **Erkan D**, Sammaritano L, Levy R, Harrison MJ, Peterson M, Yazici Y, *et al*. APLASA study 2004 update: primary thrombosis prevention in asymptomatic aPL-positive patients with aspirin (ASA) [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;**50**:S640–41.
- 4 **Brandt JT**, Triplett DA, Alving B, Scharer I. Criteria for the diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants: an update. On behalf of the Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the ISTH. *Thromb Haemost* 1995;**74**:1185–90.
- 5 **Wong R**, Wilson R, Pollock W, Steele R, Gillis D. Anti-cardiolipin antibody testing and reporting practices among laboratories participating in a large external quality assurance program. *Pathology* 2004;**36**:174–81.
- 6 **Erkan D**, Sammaritano L, Yazici Y, Lockshin MD. Challenging clinical aspects of antiphospholipid syndrome: what do experts say? [abstract] *Arthritis Rheum*, 2001;**44**:S147.
- 7 **Levine SR**, Salowich-Palm L, Sawaya KL, Perry M, Spencer HJ, Winkler HJ, *et al*. IgG anticardiolipin antibody titer >40 GPL and the risk of subsequent thrombo-occlusive events and death. A prospective cohort study. *Stroke* 1997;**28**:1660–65.
- 8 **Ginsburg KS**, Liang MH, Newcomer L, Goldhaber SZ, Schur PH, Hennekens CH, *et al*. Anticardiolipin antibodies and the risk for ischemic stroke and venous thrombosis. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;**117**:997–1002.
- 9 **Lockshin MD**, Druzin ML, Qamar T. Prednisone does not prevent recurrent fetal death in women with antiphospholipid antibody. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989;**160**:439–43.
- 10 **Finazzi G**. The Italian Registry of Antiphospholipid Antibodies. *Haematologica* 1997;**82**:101–5.
- 11 **Galli M**. Antiphospholipid antibodies and thrombosis: do test patterns identify the patients' risk? *Thromb Res* 2004;**114**:597–601.
- 12 **Bruce IN**, Clark-Soloninka CA, Spitzer KA, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Laskin CA. Prevalence of antibodies to beta2-glycoprotein I in systemic lupus erythematosus and their association with antiphospholipid antibody syndrome criteria: a single center study and literature review. *J Rheumatol* 2000;**27**:2833–7.
- 13 **Lee EY**, Lee CK, Lee TH, Chung SM, Kim SH, Cho YS, *et al*. Does the anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibody provide additional information in patients with thrombosis? *Thromb Res* 2003;**111**:29–32.
- 14 **Galli M**, Luciani D, Bertolini G, Barbui T. Lupus anticoagulants are stronger risk factors for thrombosis than anticardiolipin antibodies in the antiphospholipid syndrome: a systematic review of the literature. *Blood* 2003;**101**:1827–32.
- 15 **Nojima J**, Suehisa E, Akita N, Toku M, Fushimi R, Tada H, *et al*. Risk of arterial thrombosis in patients with anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant. *Br J Haematol* 1997;**96**:447–50.
- 16 **Vila P**, Hernandez MC, Lopez-Fernandez MF, Battie J. Prevalence, follow-up and clinical significance of the anticardiolipin antibodies in normal subjects. *Thromb Haemost* 1994;**72**:209–13.
- 17 **McCarty GA**, Cason TE. Use of hydroxychloroquine in antiphospholipid antibody syndrome at three academic rheumatology units over two years: improvement in antibody titer and symptom management [abstract]. Seventh International Congress on SLE and related conditions abstract book, 2004:M17A.
- 18 **Harris EN**. Special report. The Second International Anti-cardiolipin Standardization Workshop/the Kingston Anti-Phospholipid Antibody Study (KAPS) group. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1990;**94**:476–84.
- 19 **Audrain MA**, Colonna F, Morio F, Hamidou MA, Muller JY. Comparison of different kits in the detection of autoantibodies to cardiolipin and beta2glycoprotein 1. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2004;**43**:181–5.
- 20 **Reber G**, Schousboe I, Tincani A, Sanmarco M, Kveder T, de Moerloose P, *et al*. Inter-laboratory variability of anti-beta2-glycoprotein I measurement. A collaborative study in the frame of the European Forum on Antiphospholipid Antibodies Standardization Group. *Thromb Haemost* 2002;**88**:66–73.
- 21 **Wong RC**, Australasian aCL Working Party. Consensus guidelines for anticardiolipin antibody testing. *Thromb Res* 2004;**114**:559–71.
- 22 **Out HJ**, van Vliet M, de Groot PG, Derksen RH. Prospective study of fluctuations of lupus anticoagulant activity and anticardiolipin antibody titre in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1992;**51**:353–7.
- 23 **Kalunian KC**, Peter JB, Middlekauff HR, Sayre J, Ando DG, Mangotich M, *et al*. Clinical significance of a single test for anti-cardiolipin antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Am J Med* 1988;**85**:602–8.